

FilmForum 2021
XIX MAGIS International Film
and Media Studies Spring School
XXVIII International Film
and Media Studies Conference

28th Edition

FilmForum 2021 March 18th – 31st – November 1st – 5th

filmforum/2021

Gorizia/Udine, March 18th – 31st – November 1st – 5th

XIX MAGIS International Film and Media Studies Spring School
*Living in the Material World: Transdisciplinary Approaches
to Past and Present Media Ecologies*
March 18th – 31st

XXVIII International Film and Media Studies Conference
November 1st – 5th

- Coordinator:*
Simone Venturini (Università degli studi di Udine)
- XIX MAGIS International Film and Media Studies Spring School – *Living in the Material World: Transdisciplinary Approaches to Past and Present Media Ecologies*
- Scientific Coordinators:*
Diego Cavallotti (Università degli studi di Cagliari), Simone Venturini (Università degli studi di Udine)
- Scientific Committee:*
Mariapia Comand, Francesco Pitassio, Leonardo Quaresima, Cosetta Saba, Simone Venturini (Università degli studi di Udine), Sara Martin (Università di Parma), Federico Zecca (Università degli studi di Bari “Aldo Moro”)
- Steering Committee:*
Simone Dotto (Università degli studi di Udine), Federico Giordano (Università per Stranieri di Perugia – Università Telematica San Raffaele, Roma), Giovanna Maina (Università degli studi di Torino), Andrea Mariani (Università degli studi di Udine), Federico Zecca (Università degli studi di Bari “Aldo Moro”).
- Organisational Board:*
Serena Bellotti, Maria Ida Bernabei, Laura Cesaro, Silvia Mascia, Giacomo Vidoni, Paolo Villa, Gianandrea Sasso (Università degli studi di Udine).
- Cinema and Contemporary Arts - On the Edge of a New Dark Age-Media Ecology and Art Strategies:*
Mary Comin, Lorenzo Lazzari, Greta Plaitano, Paolo Villa (Università degli studi di Udine), Vincenzo Estremo (Nuova Accademia Belle Arti, Milano) Francesco Federici (Università del Molise), Annalisa Pellino (Libera Università di Lingue e Comunicazione IULM, Milano).
- Media Archaeology – Ecologies of Perception:*
Simone Dotto, Andrea Mariani, Simone Venturini (Università degli studi di Udine), Diego Cavallotti (Università degli studi di Cagliari), Pepita Hesselberth (Leiden University Centre for the Arts in Society), Sebastian Scholz (Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam)
- Post-Cinema – Vulnerable Media:*
Nicole Braidà (Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz), Alberto Brodesco (Università degli studi di Trento), Ludovica Fales (University College, London), Federico Giordano (Università per Stranieri di Perugia – Università Telematica San Raffaele, Roma), Ivan Girina (Brunel University, London), Berenike Jung (King’s College, London).
- Porn Studies – Pornographic subjectivities: Sexuality, Race, Class, Age, Dis/Ability:*
Enrico Biasin (Independent scholar), Giovanna Maina (Università degli studi di
- Torino), Federico Zecca (Università degli studi di Bari “Aldo Moro”).
- The Film and Media Heritage – Historicizing Platforms: Sources and Streams:*
Hans-Michael Bock (CineGraph, Hamburg), Jan Distelmeyer (Fachhochschule Potsdam/Universität Potsdam), Serena Bellotti, Petra Marlazzi, Simone Venturini (Università degli studi di Udine)
- Modi, memorie, culture della produzione cinematografica italiana (1949-1976):*
Mariapia Comand, Barbara Corsi, Simone Dotto, Andrea Mariani, Marco Rossitti, Cosetta Saba, Simone Venturini (Università degli studi di Udine), Francesco di Chiara (Università degli studi eCampus, Novedrate), Luisella Farinotti, Luca Barbarito, Elena Gipponi, Mariachiara Grizzaffi (Libera università di lingue e comunicazione IULM, Milano), Vito Zagarrìo, Leonardo De Franceschi, Malvina Giordana, Elio Ugenti, Christian Uva (Università degli studi RomaTre), Sara Martin, Jennifer Malvezzi (Università degli studi di Parma), Antioco Floris, Diego Cavallotti (Università degli studi di Cagliari).
- Organisation:*
Associazione Palazzo del Cinema – Hiša Filma (Chiara Canesin, Giuseppe Longo, Martina Pizzamiglio), SCOM – Università degli studi di Udine, Martina Scrignaro, Maurizio
- Pisani, Stefania Capellupo, Nicola Narduzzi, Loris Nardin, Arnaldo Spessotto, Daniela Fabrici (DIUM – Dipartimento di studi umanistici e del patrimonio culturale), Carlo Carratù (CEGO – Università degli studi di Udine)
- Screenings Coordinators:*
Andrea Mariani, Simona Schneider (Università degli studi di Udine)
- Screenings and Special Events:*
Yto Barrada (Cinémathèque de Tanger, Tangier); Léa Morin (L’Atelier de l’Observatoire, Casablanca); Brett Kashmere and Seth Mitter (Canyon Cinema, San Francisco) Georgia Gray (MUBI)
- Websites:*
Giovanni Buffà, Stefano Marotta, Irene Fanizza, (PostPast), Nello Polesello (InfoFactory)
- Technical direction:*
Gianandrea Sasso, Giacomo Vidoni (La Camera Ottica, Digital Storytelling Lab – Università degli studi di Udine)
- Layout:*
Marco De Anna (Comunicazione – Università degli studi di Udine)
- Graphics:*
Stefano Ricci

Contents

Call for Papers

XIX MAGIS International Film
and Media Studies Spring School
March 18th – 31st

XXVIII International Film
and Media Studies Conference
November 1st - 5th

Screenings
March 26th – March 31st

XXVIII International Film and Media Studies Conference
Gorizia, November 1st - 5th

Call for papers coming soon on ff2021.filmforumfestival.it

Living in the Material World: Transdisciplinary Approaches to Past and Present Media Ecologies
Gorizia, March 18th – 31st

Since the late 1960s, the notion of “media ecology” has become a crucial part of the academic debate. Fostered by Neil Postman’s theories, media ecology has configured itself as a meta-theoretical ground on which the media are considered as technological environments, capable of shaping our senses and perception. Throughout the years, several insights on such topic have been developed, involving the interrelationships between technological networks, information, and communication (Altheide 1995; Nardi & O’Day 1999; Tacchi, Slater & Earn, 2003; Hearn & Foth, 2007); the notion of “media practice” within these networks (Mattoni 2017); the role of culture in their evolution (Gencarelli 2006; Strate 2008; Polski 2013); etc. We could say that new branches stemmed out from the methodological framework proposed by Postman, in which McLuhan’s legacy appears to be fundamental.

Some of them stress the role of materiality in the construction of *Medienverbund* (Kittler, 1986), media environments and media cultures, others focus on the creation of power/knowledge networks (Parikka 2007; 2010; 2011; 2014): in all of them, every medium is considered as a complex system among other complex systems, with which it develops cultural and practical grids.

The entanglement between the concept of media ecology and the notion of network has become massively relevant for the European debate ever since Félix Guattari’s published his *Les Trois Écologies* (1989), “Postmodern Deadlock and Post-Media Transition” (1986), and “Entering the Post-Media Era” (2009): here, the media “ecologies” (plural!) are the material contexts in which the processes of subjectivity construction take place. This notion has been further elaborated by media theorists such as Matthew Fuller (2005) and Michael Goddard (2018), who stress the role of media assemblages, dispositives, and networks concerning the dynamics of subjectivity construction.

In our CFP we aim to explore the multi-faceted realm of past and present media ecologies in order to develop a transdisciplinary approach to their epistemological ground, which will be fostered by the five sections of our school (Cinema and Contemporary Arts, The Film and Media Heritage, Media Archaeology, Porn Studies, and Post-Cinema).

Cinema and Contemporary Arts – On the Edge of a New Dark Age-Media Ecology and Art Strategies

In the modernist framework of technological enthusiasm and faith in progress, technology had been seen as one of the crucial forces for society to evolve as it never had before. More recently, this utopian view has been flipped in its dystopian twin: since the 1990s, technological determinism has been the flipside of the coin of several conceptions of media ecologies and environments (particularly in reference to Marshall McLuhan’s and Neil Postman’s understanding of the term), moving the attention from the advantages to the consequences of technological progress in modernized societies. In this view, the so-called Age of Information could be seen as a paradoxical counterpart of the Age of Enlightenment as made visible by the Internet.

Whereas, for a long time, it has been argued that putting more information in people’s hands would have inherently fostered their understanding of public issues and increased their participation in social life, the current technologically advanced societies have largely proved their incapability to provide a large and spread condition of equality, social justice and common good (Marx & Smith 1994).

In this view, the state of confusion which we live in, the increasing lack of political awareness, the concerns for the climate crisis, and the commercial exploitation of public spaces via the use of digital media, can be seen as some of the constitutive aspects underlying the current “technologically driven authoritarianism.” As recently suggested by James Bridle’s *New Dark Age*, acknowledging that the more we rely on the media-networked environment, the less we know its deep social and political implications calls for a critically aware response: developing a “systemic literacy” is the first step to go beyond the purely functional understanding of technology and “to understand the many ways in which technology itself hides its own agency – through opaque machines and inscrutable codes as well as physical distance and legal constructs” (Bridle 2018: 8). From a different standpoint, many theoretical orientations in humanities, visual culture studies and social sciences have investigated affectivity, focusing on the body and collective experience as oppositional tools to the technology-driven neoliberal modes of performativity. In the wake of the interest of feminist and queer theories for body and emotions, they focused on the “formative power” of affect “cast forward by its open-ended in-between-ness [...] integral to a body’s perpetual becoming” (Gregg & Seigworth, 2010).

All of these considerations lead us to put into question how, in the current Information Society, knowledge flow through media and bodies and beyond representation. Instead of being taken for granted, while thinking at automated information as more reliable than our own experience (“automation bias”) and progressively losing our ability to imagine a future, digital networks and plat-

forms must be re-assessed and re-appropriated as tools to “rethink the world.” In this vein, the Cinema and Contemporary Arts section’s call for papers aims at fostering the debate by gathering theoretical and practice-based reflections on how and by which “yardsticks” can we pinpoint new artistic strategies and tactics to reshape our approach to technology and actively redefine our position in the current media environment.

The Cinema and Contemporary Arts section will thus welcome proposals related (but not limited to) the following sub-topics:

- Artists, artworks and art movements concerned with the concept of media-ecology;
- The “new materialist energies” at work within contemporary arts (t.i. how art has critically addressed digital materialism);
- The political ecology of knowledge practices based on body and affectivity (Massoumi 2002: 255);
- Feminist and queer strategies at work against the technologies of governmentality; the queer utopian impulse (Muñoz 2009);
- The strategic and tactical potential of art in de-commodifying time and the moving image;
- The production of urban and domestic space by digital media and how it affects the public sphere;
- Digital colonialism and post-colonialism.

The Film and Media Heritage – Historicizing Platforms: Sources and Streams

Against the background of the increasing success of streaming as an everyday mode of film experience and the new platform economy (Dal Yong Jin, 2015; Marc Steinberg, 2019), the workshop discusses the history of dealing with film sources and materials in the last decades. It combines discussion and presentations of various dispositifs and materialities – from 9.5, 16, and 35 mm copies to VHS, laser disc and DVD/Blu-ray to streaming platforms. The focus is on changes of the supposedly stable entity of «the film» under the influence of shifting technologies and practices. This includes the materiality and appropriation of cinematic sources as well as their revision and making available.

These changes are not only worth considering with regard to coming into contact with films (going to the cinema and travelling to retrospectives compared to inserting a disc and going/staying online) and to concepts of film and video as media, but also to writing about/during films (vague memories from notes written in the dark compared to an analysis frame by frame) and for a resulting canon formation. The development from film copies to streaming platforms leads from the establishment of film as a moving image in public spaces and the

artefacts of home cinema to – again – moving images (and sounds), which as computer-based streams are no longer bound to fixed screening locations. Hence, the changing mode of «film viewing outside of theatrical precincts» (Barbara Klinger, 2006) changes both: the mode of film experience and the source that makes this experience possible.

The Film and Media Heritage section welcomes proposals relating to the following sub-topics:

- Changing media environments (from public to private spaces and to global platforms);
- Conditions of research (role of the archives and media industries);
- Conditions of availability and archiving (from renting/selling copies to platform economy);
- Aesthetics and epistemology of experience (devices, displays and disciplinary technologies of attraction/distraction);
- Aesthetics and availability (modes of presenting film, history, canons and cinephilia).

Media Archaeology – Ecologies of Perception

Drawing on a media-ecological perspective, the focus of the 2020 edition of the Media Archaeology section will be on “ecologies of perception.” What Luciana Parisi ten years ago described as “technoecologies of sensation” (2009), today has developed into a new form of rationality, one which is not only concerned with current environmentalist challenges, but that also opens up possibilities for reconsidering processes of “technocapitalist naturalization” (Massumi 2017). Ecology, from this point of view, signifies the need to rethink “the capacities of an environment, defined in terms of a multiplicity of interlayered milieu and localities, to become generative of emergent forms and patterns” (Parisi 2017: 85). Today’s “general ecology,” Erich Hörl writes, “characterises being and thought under the technological condition of a cybernetic state of nature” (2017).

Our section picks up on the suggestion that this expanding paradigm calls for new descriptions, including a rigorous historization of sense-perception and sensation, as well as a reflection on their ethical and aesthetical implications. In a time when media increasingly operate at a micro-temporal scale “without any necessary – let alone any direct – connection to human sense perception and conscious awareness” (Hansen 2015: 35), it opens up a horizon for asking “how to re-think or even reinvent media as a form of earth re-writing” (Starosielski & Walker 2016).

Our aim is to bring together papers on the following three, interrelated, topics: – First, the relation between media and communication technologies and social

movements. “The media ecological framework is particularly suited for the study of the social movements/media nexus,” Treré-Mattoni has observed, “because of its ability to provide finetuned explorations of the multiplicity, the interconnections, the dynamic evolution of old and new media forms for social change” (2015: 292). From within this framework, we are keen to hear on investigations of various forms, or dispositifs, of subjectivation in the face of newly emerging social forces or social resistance.

– Second, the role of media infrastructures in shaping our ways of perceiving the world. Today, we are increasingly thinking and living under conditions of an effective “programmability of planet earth” (Gabrys 2016: 4). We thus need to pay attention to the complex consequences of media becoming environmental and environments becoming mediated. From this point of view, action and resistance, as well as dynamic relations between human and non-human entities, need to be framed and shaped on a wider range of scale. Joanna Zyliniska, in this context, for example, reclaims a “minimal ethics” for the Anthropocene: “swap the telescope for the microscope,” she writes. “It is a practical and conceptual device that allows us to climb up and down various spatiotemporal dimensions” (2014: 28). We ask: what would a minimal ethics for an ecology of perception entail?

– Third, the complex linkages between media as technology and environmental issues in more-than-human worlds, including “the concrete connections that media as technology has to resources [...] and nature” (Parikka 2013: 204; 2016). Special focus will be dedicated to the capitalist “production of the obsolete” (Jucan 2016: xvii); “finite media” (Cubitt 2017); the effects or remains of what Parikka called the “anthroscene”; and the question what a speculative ethics of “slow (media) violence” (Parikka 2016) and “matters of care” (Puig de la Bellacasa 2017) might entail.

The Media Archaeology section welcomes proposals relating (but not limited) to the following sub-topics:

- Ecologies of perception;
- Media archaeological approaches to the concept of media ecology, its materiality and infrastructures;
- The role of media affordances in building a media ecology;
- The role of computational design;
- Critical considerations of (un)sustainable media;
- Obsolescence, and/or the reconstruction of the materiality of past media ecologies;
- The complex relations between media technologies, natural environments, and the multifaceted temporalities they entail;

- The role of dynamic instrumentalisation of nature in biotechnology, nanotechnology, information technology etc.;
- The nexus between media ecologies and social movements: interactions in a liquid production and fruition context;
- Tele-technologies for contemporary social movements (e.g. memes, meme-platforms, meme-generator, flashmobs, Anonymous operations etc.);
- Dispositifs of subjectivation;
- Speculative ethics, and matters of care;
- The “minimal ethics” for “more-than-human worlds”;
- The notion of “slow media violence” and “matters of care”;
- Geologic matter and bio-matter, deep times and deep places of media in mines and rare earth minerals.

Post-Cinema – Vulnerable Media

The Post-Cinema section invites contributions on the topic of Vulnerable Media. This conceptual framework wants to explore how current and emergent media technologies, distribution platforms, formats or artefacts negotiate affects between users and digital interactive interfaces, in particular, how such media hide or show, contain or generate forms of vulnerability.

An expanding infrastructure serves to manage our emotional experience by tracking, quantifying and supervising, or by shaping that experience through its interfaces, as we connect and share in affective spaces of social media. These media which maintain and nurture our “mediated intimacies” (Attwood, Hakim, Winch 2017) are at the same time vulnerable to engendering processes of physical and emotional disconnect. Arguably, these media formats and objects shape contemporary “structures of feeling” (Williams 1961: 64-66) and relational emotions (Ahmed 2004) and help regulate affect in capitalist societies (Illouz 2007). Such affective technologies extend beyond individual self-improvement, leading to intimacy as a governing concept in the relation between state and citizens. Vulnerable media here point to security gaps, hacks, and technologies that enable surveillance and manipulation through governments and companies such as Cambridge Analytica on a global scale, as well as socio-cultural issues, such as exploitation in e-sports or gamergate, comicgate etc.

From global tracking and surveillance, data collection scandals to powerful and proprietary algorithms, quasi-monopolist blackboxed platforms, progress on AI and machine learning systems, as well as data collection lead to subjective feelings of vulnerability. These developments have also renewed discourses on what it means to be human: where does the “meatsuit” end can consciousness be programmed?

In the realm of emergent media the future is tied to issues of instability, change

and obsolescence. The race for novelty and technological innovation always entails an unending trajectory towards obsolescence. The speed of change in these practices reflects their inner fear of being “left behind,” paradoxically condemning emerging technologies to a permanent state of ephemerality. Such vulnerability is embodied, for example, by the so-called “impossible archives” (Fanfic archives & the Wayback Machine) which challenge normative understandings of memory and historicity, presenting us with issues of unstable preservation in light of “update or die” logic, “glitches,” “bugs” and “dying” media formats. The Post-Cinema section welcomes proposals on the following topics:

- Who is being made vulnerable: vloggers or creators (Lange 2007); YouTube or TikTok stars, users/viewers (Bridle 2017);
- Where and how is vulnerability manifested or hidden: in industrial features and vulnerable affordances; TikTok and surveillance (Allana 2019); vulnerable aesthetics; video games as “structures of feeling” (Anable 2018);
- The vulnerability of “failing”: YouTube-videos with zero views; video games as “the art of failure” (Juul 2013); old and forgotten media; creators managing channels with just a handful of views;
- The politics and ethics of “vulnerability”: cultural discourses and philosophical questions emerging from affect in new/digital: social networking service (SNS) between macrosocial control and microphysical rewriting of the self (Stella 2009); social media affect and democracy; covert media recordings, privacy and consent;
- The affect of vulnerable media: vulnerable ways of seeing, representation and self-representation; the digitization of bodies (see Brodesco & Giordano 2018); cybertypes and inequalities in the digital realm; digital divides; gamergate, comicgate;
- The vulnerability of digital technologies and ecology: media dependence on natural resources; vulnerable humanity and vulnerable earth (Cubitt 2017; Zylinska & Kember 2012); waste and preservation management of data;
- The vulnerable materiality of digital media: data storage and data centers; data infrastructure and exchange; digital carbon footprint energy.

Porn Studies – Pornographic Subjectivities: Sexuality, Race, Class, Age, Dis/Ability

The 2020 edition of the Porn Studies section of the MAGIS – International Film Studies Spring School aims to investigate pornography as a dispositive of subjectivation (Foucault 2001), that is as a complex and heterogeneous assemblage of technologies, institutions, discourses, practices, ideologies (Agamben 2009) able to create subjectivity through “a mixed economy of power and knowledge” (Rabinow & Rose 2003: xvi). The main goal of the section is therefore to

understand what kind of subjects are produced by pornography and how they are constructed, with particular attention to the intersections between sexuality and race, class, age, dis/ability.

Drawing loosely on Jacques Derrida’s philosophical reflections, we could say that pornography-as-dispositive is informed by a carnophallogocentric logic, that is by “the scheme that governs the production of the subject in Western culture” (1992). According to Derrida, this subject is produced by means of a process of exclusion (of other subjects) and through the construction of a structural Otherness.

Pornography has always established complex and contradictory relations with this scheme. On the one hand, pornography (or, a specific kind of pornography) seems to reiterate (and reinforce) the logic of carno-phallogocentrism, in that it seems to create the quintessential “sovereign subject”: white, male, heterosexual, able-bodied, young, and (upper) middle-class. On the other, pornography (or, another kind of pornography) seems to undermine the carno-phallogocentric scheme from the inside, deconstructing some of the central nodes on which it is based, building instead heterotopic spaces in which subjects seem to develop new and decentralized subject positions.

With this in mind, we invite proposals that explore, but are not restricted to, the following topics:

- Pornographic representations of race, class, age, dis/ability, present and past;
- Pornographic stereotypes about race, class, age, dis/ability and their “changing historical contexts” (Rosello 1998: 32);
- “Marked bodies” (Holmes 2012) in pornography;
- Re-appropriation of representation by decentralized subjects;
- “Oppositional modes of production and perverse viewerships” beyond “the framework of visibility politics organized about the nexus of positive-negative images” (Nguyen 2014: 23);
- Essentialist vs. constructivist readings of race, class, age, dis/ability and naturalization vs. denaturalization of difference in pornography;
- Fetishization of race, class, age, dis/ability in pornographic production;
- Industrial niches (such as, for instance, interracial, “chav porn,” granny porn, disability porn, etc.) and commodification of race, class, age, dis/ability within long-tail economy (Anderson 2004);
- Stars and performers, present and past (for example, Jeannie Pepper, Lexington Steele, Nina Hartley, Long Jeanne Silver, Brandon Lee, Asa Akira, etc.);
- Specialized films, film series, websites, platforms channels and categories on porn aggregators based on race, class, age, dis/ability.

**Thursday, March 18th,
15.00 – 16.00**
*Greetings and Introduction to
the XIX Edition*

Roberto Pinton (Magnifico
 Rettore Università degli studi
 di Udine)

Andrea Zannini (Direttore
 Dipartimento degli studi
 umanistici e del patrimonio
 culturale, Università degli studi
 di Udine)

Simone Venturini (Scientific
 coordinator, Università degli
 studi di Udine)

Diego Cavallotti (Scientific
 coordinator Università degli
 studi di Cagliari)

16.00 – 18.00
**Cinema and Contemporary
 Arts – *On the Edge of a New
 Dark Age. Media Ecology and
 Art Strategies***

*Capitalism and Software Pace
 at 19th Century Photographic
 Process: The Tension at
 Producing Cyanotype while
 Merging Analog and Digital
 Techniques*

Daniel Bassan Petry (Istituto
 Federal do Rio Grande do Sul)

*Hacking Surveillance: Radical
 Imagination as Subversive
 Strategy for Queer Subjectivities*
 Irene Pipicelli (Art Curator,
 Università degli studi di Torino)

*Contemporary Media Ecologies
 of Childhood. An Ethical Approach*
 Michael Brodski (Johannes
 Gutenberg-Universität Mainz)

*Approaching the Sublime. Affect
 from the Standpoint of Media
 Ecology*
 Temenuga Trifonova (York
 University)

Chair: Francesco Federici
 (Università degli studi del
 Molise), Annalisa Pellino
 (Libera Università di Lingue e
 Comunicazione IULM,
 Milano)

**Friday, March 19th
12.00 – 12.45**
**Media Archaeology –
 *Practices of Media Lab
 and Exhibition***

*It's not the Archive Fever, it's the
 Lab Fever*
 Jussi Parikka (Winchester
 School of Arts, University of
 Southampton)

*Hands on Media Matter. A
 Science Museum Approach to the
 History of Media*
 Simona Casonato (Museo
 Nazionale della Scienza e della
 Tecnologia “Leonardo da
 Vinci”, Milano)

Chair: Andrea Mariani,
 Simone Venturini (Università
 degli studi di Udine)

15.00 – 16.00
Keynote Address:
*Eco-Eco-Punk: How to Live, Act
 and Make Things in a Media-
 Dirty World*
 Joanna Zylinka (Goldsmiths,
 University of London)

Chair: Diego Cavallotti
 (Università degli studi di Cagliari)

16.30 – 18.30
**Media Archaeology–
 *Materiality and
 Infrastructures of Perception***

*Media Ecology's Primal Scene:
 McLuhan, Innis & Ong on Radio*
 Yasco Horsman (Leiden
 University Centre for the Arts
 in Society)

*Technological Art and Media
 Infrastructures*
 Aleksandra Skowronska
 (Universitet im. Adama
 Mickiewicza–HAT Research
 Center)

*“A Picture of the Sky, Locked in
 a Box”*
 Eriņ Salor (Amsterdam
 University College)

*Ecology of Perception and
 Iconology of Representation: The
 Case of the Popular Protest in
 Film, News and Social Networks
 Throughout Spanish Media*
 Mercè Oliva, Alan Salvadó,
 Ivan Pintor (Universitat
 Pompeu Fabra)

Chair: Pepita Hesselberth
 (Leiden University, Centre for
 the Arts in Society)

Monday, March 22th
11.00 – 13.00
PRIN 2017 – Modi,
memorie, culture della
produzione cinematografica
italiana (1949-1976)
Mid-Term Meeting (members
only)

15.00 – 17.00
PRIN 2017 – Modi,
memorie, culture della
produzione cinematografica
italiana (1949-1976)

*Roundtable - Diari di
lavorazione. Note per lo
studio della produzione fra
archivi, pubblicistica,
testimonianze orali*

Mariapia Comand (Università
degli studi di Udine); Luisella
Farinotti (IULM, Milano);
Sara Martin (Università degli
studi di Parma); Vito Zagarrìo
(Università degli studi Roma Tre)

Discussants: Stephen Gundle
(University of Warwick), Paolo
Noto (Alma Mater Studiorum
– Università di Bologna)

18.00 – 19.00
Curator's Talk:

*Moving Image-Based Curatorial
Practice*
Aily Nash (Festival curator)

Chair: Vincenzo Estremo
(NABA, Milano), Lorenzo
Lazzari (Università degli studi
di Udine)

Wednesday, March 24th,
11.00 – 12.00
**The Film and Media Heritage
– Practices of Film and Media
Restoration**

*Media Vulnerability in Film
Restoration*
Alice Plutino, Giulia Bottaro,
Alessandro Rizzi (Università
degli studi di Milano)

*Aporias of Film Restoration: The
Musical Documents of the Silent
Era Between Film Philology and
Market Strategies*
Francesco Finocchiaro (‘F.
Venezze’ Conservatory
Rovigo)

Chair: Simone Venturini
(Università degli studi di Udine)

15.00 – 16.30
Keynote Address:
*Playforming the Classics:
Casablanca, Film History, and
the Life Cycle of Enduring
Hollywood Films*
Barbara Klinger (Indiana
University)

Chair: Jan Distelmeyer
(Fachhochschule
Potsdam/Universität Potsdam)

17.00 – 18.30
Keynote Address:
*What was Digital. Processes,
Materialities, and Programmatic
Power*
Jan Distelmeyer
(Fachhochschule
Potsdam/Universität Potsdam)

Chair: Simone Dotto
(Università degli studi di Udine)

Thursday, March 25th,
15.00 – 17.00

Curator's Talk:

*Bringing the Movies Home.
Technology and Availability by
Way of example of The Criterion
Collection.*

Issa Clubb (Criterion
Collection)

Chair: Hans-Michael Bock,
Svenja Schiemann
(CineGraph, Hamburg)

Monday, March 29th,
15.00 – 16.30

**Post-Cinema – Immaterial
Bits and Material Bytes:
Between Agency and
Vulnerability**

*Zero Views. Aesthetics and
Experience of Failure in the
Micro-celebrity Economy.*
Giacomo Nencioni (Università
della Tuscia)

*Embracing Vulnerability and
Going Beyond Individuality in
We live in An Ocean of Air by
Marshmallow Laser Feast*
Ludovica Fales (University
College, London)

*Amnesiac: Dementia as a
Metaphor for Media-Specific
Preservation Issues in Sutu's
These Memories Won't Last*
Giorgio Busi Rizzi (Gent
Universiteit)

*Vulnerable Faces. A (not
apocalyptic) Journey Through
Narcissism, Solipsism, Anxiety,
Depression and Other Marvelous
Manifestations of Post-media
Society*
Bruno Surace (Università degli
studi di Torino)

Chair: Nicole Braidà (Johannes
Gutenberg-Universität Mainz),
Alberto Brodesco (Università
di Trento)

17.00 – 18.00

**Post-Cinema – Roundtable:
Vulnerable Media in
Pandemic Times**

Nicole Braidà (Johannes
Gutenberg – Universität
Mainz); Alberto Brodesco
(Università di Trento);
Ludovica Fales (University
College, London);
Federico Giordano (Università
per Stranieri di Perugia –
Università telematica San
Raffaele, Roma); Ivan Girina
(Brunel University); Berenike
Jung (King's College London)

Tuesday, March 30th,

16.00 – 17.00

Post-Cinema – *The Fragility of the Memory: Between Videogames and Webcomics*

A Warrior Will Never Give Up: The Narrative Necessity of Death in Dead Cells and Sekiro: Shadows Die Twice
Matteo Genovesi (Independent Scholar – Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia)

The End of Capitalism: The Economic Imaginary of Incremental Video Games
Paolo Ruffino (University of Liverpool)

Chair: Ivan Girina (Brunel University London), Federico Giordano (Università per Stranieri di Perugia – Università telematica San Raffaele, Roma), Berenike Jung (King's College London)

17.30 – 18.30

Keynote Address:

Post-games? On New Forms of Precarity in Play
Alenda Y. Chang
(University of Santa Barbara, California)

Chair: Ivan Girina (Brunel University London), Berenike Jung (King's College London)

Wednesday, March 31st,

18.00 – 19.00

Porn Studies

Keynote Address:

The Black Erotic Archive
Mireille Miller-Young
(University of California, Santa Barbara)

Chair: Enrico Biasin (Independent Scholar), Giovanna Maina (Università degli studi di Torino), Federico Zecca (Università degli studi di Bari “Aldo Moro”)

19.15 – 20.15

Keynote Address:

The Pornographic Unconscious
Tim Dean (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

Chair: Enrico Biasin (Independent Scholar), Giovanna Maina (Università degli studi di Torino), Federico Zecca (Università degli studi di Bari “Aldo Moro”)

West Side Stories: Alternative Cinemas from The Bay Area and Tangier

Curators: Andrea Mariani and Simona Schneider (Università degli studi di Udine)

**Friday, March 19th
21.00**

Post-Pornographic Ecologies: A Night of Shorts from the Canyon Cinema Collection (San Francisco)

Removed

(Naomi Uman, 1999, [16 mm], Digital file, 6')

Reckless Eyeballing
(Christopher Harris, 2004, [16mm], Digital File, 13')

Noema
(Scott Stark, 1998, DVD, 11')

Still Raining, Still Dreaming
(Phil Solomon, 2008, Digital file, 12')

Winter Beyond Winter
(Jonathan Schwartz, 2016, [16mm] Digital file, 11')

No Zone
(Greta Snider, 1993, [16 mm], Digital file, 13')

Night Hunter
(Stacey Steers, 2011, [35mm], HD Digital file, 16')

**Friday, March 26th,
21.00**

Intimate Ethnographies: At Home with Chick Strand

Soft Fiction

(Chick Strand, 1979, [16mm], Digital file, 59')

Cosas di mi vida
(Chick Strand, 1976, [16mm], Digital file, 25')

Introduced by: Brett Kashmir
(Canyon Cinema, San Francisco)

**Wednesday March 31st,
21.00**

The Cinémathèque de Tanger Celebrates its 15th Year

Hand-Me-Downs

(Yto Barrada, 2011, [8mm & 16mm] HD Video file, 14')

The Tangier 8: Threshold Songs
(The Tamaas Association with Peter Gizzi and Natalia Al-mada, 2009, [8mm] Digital file, 9')

Six et Douze (Six and Twelve)
(Ahmed Bouanani, 1968, [35mm] HD Video file, 18')

Foire de Tanger (The Tangier Fair)
(Anonymous, 1970, [8mm] HD Video file, 17')

Faux départs (False Start)
(Yto Barrada, 2016, [16mm], Digital file, 22')

Introduced by: Yto Barrada (Cinémathèque de Tanger, Tangier)

From March 19th onwards - Hosted by MUBI (mubi.com)

De quelques evenements sans signification

(Mostafa Derkaoui, 1974, [16mm] HD Video File, 76', Restored in 2020 by the Filmoteca de Catalunya)

Introduced by: Léa Morin (Independent curator, L'Atelier de l'Observatoire, Casablanca).













FILM FORUM 2021

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam
Università degli studi di Bari “Aldo Moro”
Goethe-Universität, Frankfurt am Main
Brunel University – London
Università degli studi di Cagliari
IMT School for Advanced Studies Lucca
Université de Lausanne
Leiden University Centre for the Arts in Society
University of Malta
McGill University – Montréal
Université de Montréal
Concordia University – Montréal
Université du Québec à Montréal – UQAM
Université Sorbonne Nouvelle – Paris 3
Università degli studi di Parma
Fachhochschule Potsdam
Universität Potsdam
Università per Stranieri di Perugia
Università degli studi di Sassari
Stockholms universitet
Universiteit Utrecht
Università degli studi di Udine

Associazione Palazzo del Cinema
Hiša Filma, Gorizia



La Camera Ottica,
Film and Video Restoration, Gorizia



Digital Storytelling Lab, Udine



Cinefest, Hamburg
CineGraph, Hamburg



GRAFICS – Groupe de recherche sur l'avènement et la formation
des institutions cinématographique et scénique, Université de Montréal
labdoc - leLaboratoire de recherche sur les pratiques audiovisuelles documentaires,
Université du Québec à Montréal
LIRA – Laboratoire International de Recherches en Arts



Mediateca Provinciale di Gorizia “Ugo Casiraghi”/
Goriška Pokrajinska Mediateka “Ugo Casiraghi”



Corso di Laurea in Discipline dell'Audiovisivo, dei Media e dello Spettacolo (DAMS)
Corso di Laurea Magistrale in Scienze del patrimonio audiovisivo e dell'educazione ai
media / International Master in Cinema Studies (IMACS)
Dottorato in Storia dell'arte, cinema, media audiovisivi e musica

Corso di Laurea in Relazioni Pubbliche
CEGO – Centro Polifunzionale di Gorizia
SCOM – Servizio Comunicazione

CREA, Centro Ricerca Elaborazione Audiovisivi, Gorizia
CRS - Centro Ricerche Sceneggiature, Gorizia
CINEMANTICA, Laboratorio Cinema e Multimedia, Udine

In collaboration with the journals:

CINÉMA & Cie, G|A|M|E, L'Avventura. International Journal of Italian Film and
Media Landscapes

Con il sostegno di:



Progetto di Rilevante Interesse Nazionale (PRIN 2017)
Modi, memorie, culture della produzione cinematografica italiana (1949-1976)

<http://www.filmforumfestival.it/>

<http://www.ff2021.filmforumfestival.it/>



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI UDINE
hic sunt futuro

DIPARTIMENTO DI
STUDI UMANISTICI E DEL
PATRIMONIO CULTURALE